



Grains Research & Development Corporation

Canola seed nutrient concentrations for Southern Australia

Rob Norton http://anz.ipni.net



Better Crops, Better Environment ... through Science

ARAB 2014, Tanunda SA, September 30, 2014.

Why would you want to know grain nutrient concentrations?



- Nutrient budgeting removal versus replacement
 - Critical issue is the nutrient contents of produce removed
 - Reuter values often quoted (ANRA Audit)

| | | Р | K | S | Са | Mg |
|-------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | N% | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg |
| Wheat (11%) | * (2.2) | 2600 | 3600 | 1400 | 380 | 1200 |
| Wheat (0%) | * | 2900 | 4000 | 1600 | 430 | 1400 |
| Canola (0%) | 3.4 | 5600 | 8100 | 5500 | 1500 | 3800 |

- Diagnostic for some soil/plant nutrient status
- Seed/Grain quality (eg N:S ratio, heavy metals, P & Zn)
- N removal to use ratio will be a reportable metric in the next round of the Sustainable Development Goals.



Relevant targets & indicators



| Goal & Target | Issue | # | Potential Indicator | Potential Lead |
|--|--|----|--|----------------------|
| 2b Countries report on their contribution to planetary boundaries | Nitrogen and phosphorus fluxes | 10 | Excessive loss of reactive N and P to the environment (kg/ha) – indicator to be developed | UNEP or other agency |
| 6a Sustainable food production | Staple crop yields | 50 | Crop yield gap (actual as % attainable) | FAO with IFA |
| | Sustainability of agriculture | 51 | Crop nitrogen use efficiency | FAO with IFA |
| | Water productivity | 52 | Crop water productivity | FAO |
| 8b Reduce non- energy related GHG emission | GHG emissions from landuse change | 78 | Net GHG emissions in agriculture, forest & other land use sectors | UNFCCC |

http://unsdsn.org/resources/goals-and-targets/



For example - N balances – National values – all agriculture = 1.76; cereals = 0.82





Data sources



- 2012 NVT canola sites 2 cultivars per site
 - represent the difference HT groups and as common as possible.
 - NSW 69 samples/12 sites
 - SA 66 samples/12 sites
 - Vic 66 samples/10 sites
 - WA 89 samples/12 sites
- ICP-OES B, Cu, K, Mn, P, S, and Zn
- ICP-MS Cd (Mo, Co, Se)
- NIR N (protein)



• Data collected as part of a micronutrient risk assessment scoping study.



National variation in macronutrients

- N: 4.28 ± 0.62 %
 - (3.4)
- P: 5672 ±1125 mg/kg
 (5600)
- K: 6863 ±1015 mg/kg
 (8100)
- S: 4063 ±670 mg/kg

- (5500)



| Factor AnoVar P | Ν | Р | к | S |
|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Region | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| State | 0.000 | 0.094 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | | | | |



Were there differences among regions?

- For example South Australia
 - For P 50% mean difference
 - For S 30% mean difference
- Maybe some yield effects
 - no correlation with yield
- Maybe some soil effects
 - no correlation of P with Colwell Mea
 P soil test
- Regional values better than means – maybe even extend to farm values for nutrient budgets for P especially

| - | Region & | Ν | Р | K | S |
|------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| _ | State | % | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg |
| _ | LEP | 4.09 | 6317 | 7140 | 3252 |
| | MNSA | 4.96 | 5767 | 7191 | <mark>4101</mark> |
| | SESA | 4.52 | <mark>5076</mark> | 7048 | 3923 |
| | UEP | <mark>3.69</mark> | <mark>7809</mark> | 7407 | <mark>3127</mark> |
| | YP | 4.57 | 6170 | 7496 | 3734 |
| | SA | 4.46 | 5868 | 7204 | 3725 |
| well | Mean | 4.28 ±0.62 | 5672 ±1125 | 6863 ±1015 | 4063 ±670 |
| - | LSD * (p<0.05) | 0.24 | 637 | 561 | 372 |
| n - | <u>.</u> | | | | |



N:S ratios – given as 7 – maybe more than that?



Question S requirements & balancing S with N



National variation in micronutrients



- Large variation in Zn 37.9 ± 7.7 (critical value <25)
 - lowest values in SA (YP & UEP)
- Some variation in B 12.0 ±1.2 (critical value <10)
 - Lowest values in NEV & SWV
- Little variation in Cu 2.9 ±0.5 (critical value <3)
 - <3 on Chromosols, Tenosols, Vertosols
- Large variation in Mn (no critical value)
 Critical values taken from Reuter & Robinson







The manganese story



Images – DAFWA – MyCrop/CroPro

| | B mg/kg | Cu mg/kg | Mn mg/kg | Zn mg/kg |
|--------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| Con | 11.2 | 2.7 | 36.2 | 33.6 |
| IT | 11.4 | 3.1 | 40.8 | 39.6 |
| RR | 12.1 | 2.9 | 36.4 | 36.7 |
| TT | 12.5 | 2.8 | 37.0 | 39.0 |
| LSD (p<0.05) | 0.4 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 1.8 |

No evidence of lower Mn levels in RR type canola.



The cadmium story

All Pb concentrations were <0.3 mg/kg and all Ni concentrations <1 mg/kg, Se values 0.2 mg/kg; Co 0.083, Cd 0.025



EU & FSANZ revising its standards for heavy metals

No standard for canola but wheat standard proposed at 0.1 mg/kg

1 sample exceeded the wheat standard for whole seed.

0.1 mg/kg in defatted meal, could equate to 0.06 mg/kg whole dry seed 5% of samples (saline, acid, high P soils)



Summary

- N, P and S grain concentrations show regional variations that should be used in farm gate budgets rather than national values.
- Micronutrient grain concentrations show little evidence of compromised B, Mn or Zn supply, although Cu values are low but the critical values are not fully reliable diagnostically.
- There is no evidence of comprised Mn nutrition in glyphosate tolerant canola from these data.
- There are situations where high grain/meal Cd concentrations have been seen.



Acknowledgements

- Alan Bedggood and the NVT teams for providing the seed & background soil test data.
- Waite Analytical Services for ICP analyses
- GRDC for supporting this work
- Full micronutrient scoping study is available at:
 - <u>http://research.ipni.net/project/IPNI-2012-AUS-15</u>

